Single Audit Report September 30, 2009

### Contents

	<u>Page</u>
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT:	
AUDITORS' SECTION:	
Independent auditors' report on internal control over financial	
reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an	
audit of financial statements performed in accordance with	
Government Auditing Standards	2-3
Independent auditors' report on compliance with requirements applicable	
to each major program and on internal control over compliance	
in accordance with OMB Circular A-133	4-5
Schedule of findings and questioned costs	6-8
AUDITEE'S SECTION:	
Schedule of expenditures of federal awards	10-11
Corrective action plan	12
Summary schedule of prior audit findings	13





Bellevue
Boise
Grandview
Moses Lake
Omak
Othello
Quincy

Spokane Tri-Cities Walla Walla Wenatchee

Yakima

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND
OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of County Commissioners Bonner County, Idaho Sandpoint, Idaho

We have audited the financial statements of Bonner County, Idaho (the County) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated January 27, 2010. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiency 09-01 described in the accompanying schedule of audit findings to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the significant deficiency described above is not a material weakness.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of County Commissioners, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Le Master & Daniels Pice

Boise, Idaho

January 27, 2010



# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Moses Lake Omak Othello Quincy

Spokane

Grandview

Bellevue Boise

Tri-Cities Board of County Commissioners

Walla Walla
Wenatchee
Yakima
Walla Walla
Bonner County, Idaho
Sandpoint, Idaho

#### <u>Compliance</u>

We have audited the compliance of Bonner County, Idaho (the County) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2009. The County's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying *Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs*. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its major federal program is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2009.

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major

federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance but, not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A *control deficiency* in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by an entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the basic financial statements of the County as of and for the year ended September 30, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated January 27, 2010. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of County Commissioners, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties

Le Marter & Daniels Pice

Boise, Idaho January 27, 2010

## **Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**

Year Ended September 30, 2009

	Section I —	Summary	of Auditors'	Results
--	-------------	---------	--------------	---------

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
Type of auditors' report issued:	<u>Unqualified</u>
Internal control over financial reporting:	
• Material weakness(es) identified?	yes <u>X</u> no
<ul> <li>Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?</li> </ul>	X
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	yes <u>X</u> no
FEDERAL AWARDS:	
Internal control over major programs:	
• Material weakness(es) identified?	yes <u>X</u> no
<ul> <li>Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?</li> </ul>	yes <u>X</u> no
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:	<u>Unqualified</u>
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section .510(a) of Circular A-133?	yes <u>X</u> no

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued	Schedule of Findin	gs and Ouestioned	Costs (Continued
--	--------------------	-------------------	------------------

Year Ended September 30, 2009

Section I — Summary of Auditors' Results (continued)			
FEDERAL AWARDS (continued):			
Identification of major programs:			
CFDA Number(s)	Name of Federal Program or Cluster		
10.688	Department of Agriculture – Forest Service ARRA Stimulus Fire Grant		
97.012	Department of Homeland Security – Federal Boater Safety		
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	<u>\$ 300,000</u>		
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	X yes no		

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Year Ended September 30, 2009

Section II — Financial Statement Findings

# FINDING 09-01 – PREPARATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

#### Criteria:

The County is required to present their financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Best practices dictate that the County should have an individual in the department that has the background and training to draft financial statements in accordance with the financial reporting models the County is required to follow, including the reporting model established by Governmental Accounting Standards Board No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments* (GASB 34).

#### Condition:

The implementation of GASB 34 several years ago resulted in significantly expanded reporting requirements, and although the County continues to appropriately utilize and report within the fund accounting model, they have not added an additional employee to enable the County to prepare the year-end statements that are required under the reporting model noted above.

#### **Effect:**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with the accounting standards noted above cannot be performed by the internal staff of Bonner County.

#### Recommendation:

Bonner County should evaluate their ability to prepare financial statements in accordance with the standards noted above, and determine if additional training or hiring would assist with developing the appropriate internal controls over financial reporting.

#### Section III — Federal Award Findings

No matters were reported.



# Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Year Ended September 30, 2009

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA <u>Number</u>	Award Number	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Justice:			
Detention Summer School	16.540	06-JJ11-01	\$ 9,660
Clinical Services Grant	16.540	08-DC71-11	28,000
Child Abuse Investigation Unit	16.579	07BJAG19	95,482
Bullet Proof Vest Grant	16.607	XTX3A-1	13,668
ARRA Justice Assistance Grant	16.804	2009-SB-B9-0083	28,141
Total U.S. Department of Justice			174,951
U.S. Department of Transportation:			
Passed through State of Idaho Department of Federal Aviation Administration:			
Priest River Airport Fence Grant	20.106	3-16-0058-001	96,099
Department of the Interior:			
EMS Fire Assistance Grant	15.228	DLA020423	17,897
EMS Sandpoint Watershed Fire Grant	15.228	04BLM 09	65,000
Total Department of the Interior			82,897
Health and Human Services:			
HAVA Voting System Grant	93.617		43,575

See accompanying independent auditors' report on compliance with requirements applicable to each major program and on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

# **Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued)**

#### Year Ended September 30, 2009

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA <u>Number</u>	Award Number	Federal Expenditures
Department of Agriculture:			
Forest Service:			
Stevens Fire Grant	15.228	03-DG-11010000-049	\$ 57,471
National Fire Plan	15.228	04SFA 11	36,682
Schweitzer/Gold Hill Fire Grant	15.228	07NFA1 07	3,747
ARRA Stimulus Fire Grant	* 10.688	09-DG-11-182B1-001	145,350
Total Department of Agriculture			243,250
Department of Homeland Security:			
2006 State Homeland Security Program	97.067	2006-GE-T6-0030	15,450
2007 Pre-Disaster Mitigation Planning	97.017	PDMC-PL-10-ID-2007-002	22,576
2007 State Homeland Security Program	97.067	2007-GE-T7-0014	10,000
Federal Boater Safety	* 97.012		128,802
Total Department of Homeland Security			176,828
Total expenditures of federal awards			\$ 817,600

#### \* Major federal program

#### *Note* 1 - Basis *of Presentation:*

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of Bonner County, Idaho, and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Amounts presented in this schedule agree with the amounts presented in, or used in, the preparation of the financial statements.

See accompanying independent auditors' report on compliance with requirements applicable to each major program and on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

#### **Corrective Action Plan**

Year Ended September 30, 2009

# FINDING 09-01 – PREPARATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

Response:

The County has determined that the costs of adding additional personnel and training far outweighs the benefit of being able to prepare, in-house, an annual financial statement in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. We do understand that this deficiency will result in a recurring note to our financial statements and are willing to accept that.

#### **Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings**

Year Ended September 30, 2009

# FINDING 08-01 – PREPARATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

As stated in the 2008 audit, the finding was regarding the County being able to prepare financial statements in accordance with the financial reporting models the County is required to follow, including the reporting model established by Governmental Accounting Standards Board No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments* which cannot be performed by the internal staff of Bonner County.

#### Status:

The County has determined that the costs of adding additional personnel and training far outweighs the benefit of being able to prepare, in-house, an annual financial statement in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. We do understand that this deficiency will result in a recurring note to our financial statements and are willing to accept that.